Does Environmental Exposure to Manganese Pose a Health Risk to Healthy Adults?

Manganese is an essential nutrient that also may be toxic at high concentrations. Subjects chronically exposed to manganese-laden dust in industrial settings develop neuropsychological changes that resemble Parkinson's disease. Manganese has been proposed as an additive to gasoline (as a replacement for the catalytic properties of lead), which has generated increased research interest in the possible deleterious effects of environmental exposure to manganese. Low-level exposure to manganese has been implicated in neurologic changes, decreased learning ability in schoolaged children, and increased propensity for violence in adults. However, a thorough review of the literature shows very weak cause-and-effect relationships that do not justify concern about environmental exposure to manganese for most of the North American population.

Key words: manganese, environment, toxicity, violence, industrial pollution

© 2004 International Life Sciences Institute doi: 10.1301/nr.2004.apr.148-153

Manganese (Mn) is an essential metal nutrient.¹ It is also toxic and toxicity is well documented in humans. Inhalation of Mn-laden dust can cause a Parkinson's like neuromuscular condition² that has primarily been described in miners who inhale large amounts daily. Toxicity is not considered a risk to individuals outside of Mn-contaminated industrial settings, and thus environmental overexposure to Mn has not been considered a research priority.

However, the journal *Science* recently featured an article entitled "Manganese: A High-octane Dispute," which reviewed concerns of the use of methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl (MMT) as a replacement

This review was prepared by John W. Finley, Ph.D., United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Grand Forks Human Nutrition Research Center, Grand Forks, ND 58202, USA. The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Northern Plains Area, is an equal opportunity/affirmative action employer and all agency services are available without discrimination.

for lead in gasoline. Canada has approved use of MMT because they believe that the amount of Mn in the atmosphere from MMT in gasoline is insignificant compared with total daily environmental exposure, 4,5 but recent studies suggest that low-level exposure to Mn causes adverse changes in behavior, cognition, and neurologic function. This has led to the hypothesis that, like lead (Pb), there is an incremental risk to increased exposure to Mn that can only be detected at the population level, not at the individual level.³

Research that has shown adverse effects from environmental Mn has given rise to much popular concern and activism over the new "lead" in the environment. The World Wide Web lists hundreds of sites devoted to Mn toxicity, including a site⁶ that lists Mn-containing foods and provides direct links to attorneys with experience in Mn lawsuits. Other sites, such as the Violence Research Foundation, have the express purpose of demonstrating that environmental toxins, of which Mn is one of the most important, are a major cause of violent crime.7 The Violence Research Foundation has conducted nutritional supplementation programs in California prisons, and is currently conducting a much larger intervention study with inmates in the Mexico City jail (although these studies have been reported by news organizations, there are no reports in the scientific literature). The general-circulation periodical *Popular Me*chanics (June, 2003, The Chemistry of Violence⁸) featured an article stating that Mn functions as "reverse Prozac," decreasing brain serotonin and increasing propensity for violence. Numerous internet sites have published similar articles.

The hypothesis that Mn exposure causes violent behavior also has been advanced by some academicians. President R. Masters of the Foundation for Neuroscience and Society in the School of Government, Dartmouth College, has published 17 books and 150 research articles; he has proposed that Mn and Pb pollution explain many variations in violent crime rates. ^{9,10} He hypothesizes that toxic metals cause impulsive and aggressive behavior, that exposure to these metals is high in inner cities, and that criminals are more likely to have been exposed during youth to these chemicals. These hypotheses were developed by comparing crime rates in U.S.

counties that had Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)–documented releases of Mn into the atmosphere (520/100,000; crimes/100,000 population) to counties without documented releases (350/100,000; significant difference, P=0.004). Masters et al. concluded that, after controlling for demographic and socioeconomic variables, Pb and Mn pollution were risk factors for higher rates of violent crime.

Consequently, environmental exposure to Mn has become a political issue. Even though diet is the greatest source of Mn exposure for most people, the nutrition community has had little to add to the discourse, and a thorough review of the available research shows that a vigorous debate is necessary. Certainly metals can alter behavior; a child exposed to Pb has a decreased IQ, although the impact of low-level exposure is minor compared with socio-demographic factors. 11 Other studies report a link between Pb and Attention Deficit–Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). 12 There is no doubt that Mn causes behavioral changes at high levels of exposure, 13,14 and a Chinese report indicated that high concentrations of Mn in drinking water were associated with learning disabilities in schoolchildren. 15

Acute Mn toxicity in humans, often called Mn-induced Parkinsonism, is characterized by progressive neurologic deterioration with bradykinesia, tremor, impaired postural reflexes and dystonia, and elevated whole blood, urine, and fecal Mn. 16 It is believed that Mn 3+ bound to transferrin crosses the blood-brain barrier and enters cells by using receptor-mediated endocytosis 17; magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has documented Mn accumulation in the human brain of subjects with signs of toxicity. 18 The specific mechanisms of toxicity are unknown but favored theories include oxidation of dopamine 19 and inhibition of mitochondrial and/or synaptic cleft function. 20 Mn retention is controlled in large measure by biliary excretion, and Mn accumulation in the

brain is controlled by the blood-brain barrier; therefore infants, very young children,²¹ and adults with liver disease may be more at risk for Mn toxicity.²² Molecular studies have demonstrated that Fe and Mn may be transported in mammals by a divalent metal transporter (DMT1, DCT1, or Nramp2)²³; this may explain why Fe deficiency increases Mn absorption and, perhaps, susceptibility to toxicity.²⁴ The following discussion of possible negative effects of Mn does not apply to these groups, therefore, and is only applicable to healthy adults with adequate Fe status (although it is recognized that people with low Fe status or Fe deficiency may represent a sizable portion of the population).

Regulations regarding Mn exposure have been issued independently both by the EPA and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) (Table 1). In general, oral exposure limits are relatively high (limit of 10,000 µg/day; average daily intake of Mn from food ranges from 2000 to 9000 μ g/d).²⁵ Exposure limits for airborne sources of Mn are much lower, with the EPA reference dose set at 0.5 µg Mn/m³. Airborne concentration limits for industry are generally between 100 and 5000 µg Mn/ m³, depending on the duration. Ambient air concentrations of Mn are 0.01 to 0.03 µg Mn/m³ in non-industrial areas and 0.01 to 0.07 μ g/m³ in industrial areas. The daily intake of Mn from the air is 2 μ g/day in areas without ferro- or silicomanganese industries, and as high as 10 µg/day in areas with such industries, while some short-duration 24-hour peak emissions have resulted in exposures of 200 µg/day (ambient air Mn concentrations of 0.2 to more than 0.5 µgMn/m.²⁶

Oral Mn exposure levels set by the EPA agree with the "no observed adverse effects level" set by the Food and Nutrition board committee for the Institute of Medicine.²⁷ Both groups have set the upper limit at 10 or 11 mg/day. This upper limit is almost five times the recom-

Table 1. Manganese Exposure Limits Set by Various Governmental Agencies

Measure	Definition	Value
EPA R _f C Environmental Protection Agency, Reference dose, airborne exposure	EPA, max daily intake over a lifetime	0.5 μg Mn/m ³
TLV-TWA Threshold Limit Value-Time- Weighted Average	Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), airborne concentration all workers can be exposed for 40 h	0.2 mg/m ³
REL-TWA Recommended Exposure Limit— Time-Weighted Average	OSHA, highest allowable airborne concentration in 10-h shift	1 mg Mn/m ³
PEL-TWA Permissible Exposure Limit— Time-Weighted Average	OSHA, limit that must never be exceeded	5 mg Mn/m ³
EPA Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL)	EPA, maximum no effect airborne concentration	$150 \ \mu g/m^3$
U.S. EPA Reference dose for oral exposure Adequate Intake (AI)	EPA, maximum oral intake over lifetime Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine	10 mg/d 2.3 mg/d (men) 1.8 mg/d (women)

mended adequate intake for adult men (2.3 mg/d) or women (1.8 mg/d).

Behavioral and neuropsychologic tests have been used to study physiologic effects of occupational exposure to airborne concentrations of Mn well below those shown to cause acute toxicity; the results of seven studies are summarized in Table 2. These studies were conducted on workers in foundries and alloy production facilities, and residents down-wind of such facilities. Three studies showed clear deleterious effects of Mn exposure, 35–37 one study found no effects, 40 and the others had mixed or questionable findings. 17,38,39,41–43

Lucchini et al.³¹ found that Mn exposure impaired several neurologic functions, but blood Mn concentrations were not associated with exposure. Wennberg et al.³² reported that Mn exposure resulted in impaired finger tapping and digit span, but not electroencephalography (EEG) measures or psychiatric exams. Gibbs et al.³³ did not find any significant effects of Mn exposure on multiple psychological tests and neurologic measures.

The studies of Mergler et al. 14,34,35 have received much attention. Subjects from southwestern Quebec, who lived downwind of industrial facilities with a history of Mn release, were divided into two groups with blood Mn concentrations greater than or less than 7.5 μ g/L. Older men with higher blood Mn had significant disturbances in mood scores.¹⁴ However, the most serious effects on psychological (distress, learning, and recall) and neurologic (coordinated upper limb movements and tremor) measures were in men with high blood Mn who also were heavy consumers of alcohol (>420 g/wk).³⁵ The authors concluded that Mn neurotoxicity was a continuum of dysfunction with subtle changes at all levels of intake. However, the authors did not justify using 7.5 µg Mn/L blood as a breakpoint for designation of high or low Mn exposure, and most significant effects were two- or three-way interactions, making it difficult to determine the real association. Thus, the mass of this literature still does not give clear indications of the danger of low-level Mn exposure.

There are a few reports of environmental exposure

to sources of Mn other than a Mn-emitting industry. An Australian island with extensive geologic deposits of Mn has been mined since the 1960s, and Mn exposure was blamed for neurologic and behavioral impairment in the indigenous population. Residents not involved in mining had elevated concentrations of Mn in the hair and there are numerous reports of neurologic dysfunction.³⁶ In reviewing the medical data, however, Kilburn³⁶ concluded that "available evidence can only implicate manganese by association...until then...manganese must remain an 'element of doubt.' "Loranger and Zayed⁴ used mathematic modeling to predict Mn exposure in the St. Lawerence ecozone (the same general area studied by Mergler et al.) from gasoline-emitted MMT. They showed that more than 99% of total exposure was through food, and the contribution of Mn from MMT was biologically insignificant. Kondakis et al.³⁷ studied elderly Greek adults (65-68 y) that consumed well water from three sites with Mn concentrations of 0.004 to 0.15, 0.08 to 0.25, and 1.8 to 2.3 mg Mn/L. Compared with non-exposed controls, individuals with higher Mn intakes showed significantly impaired neurologic scores and increased hair Mn concentrations. However Vieregge et al. 38 found no neurologic impairment in subjects that had consumed water that contained more than 0.3 to 2.1 mg Mn/L for more than 40 years. Chinese children exposed to fields with sewage irrigation and high Mn content (0.24-0.35 mg/L, high; 0.03-0.04 mg/L, control) had significantly impaired short-term memory, manual dexterity, and visuo-perceptive speed. 15 The route of Mn exposure was believed to have been through well water, but other sources were not investigated.

Data supporting the hypothesis that Mn exposure can increase violent crime risk also have many weaknesses. Masters¹⁰ analyzed a subset of the data comparing crime rates in areas with and without Mn release. When a t-test was used to compare counties with violent crime rates over 400/100,000 or less than 100/100,000, higher crime rates were significantly associated with more reports of Mn release. However, the associations became more complex when other variables were con-

Table 2. Reports of Neuropsychological Impairment of Subjects Exposed to Low Concentrations of Airborne Mn

Study	Subjects	Mn Exposure	Duration	Significant Effects
Iregren ²⁸	Foundry workers	150 μ g/m ³ , air	10 y	Y
Roels et al. ²⁹	Foundry workers	950 $\mu g/m^3$, air	5.2 y	Y
Mergler et al. ³⁰	Alloy production workers	230 $\mu g/m^3$, air	14.6 y	Y
Lucchini et al. ³¹	Alloy production workers	$157-1597 \ \mu g/m^3$, air	NA	?
Wennberg et al. ³²	Foundry workers	$160-410 \ \mu g/m^3$, air	NA	?
Gibbs et al. ³³	Foundry workers	200 $\mu g/m^3$, air	NA	No
Mergler et al. 14,34,35	Residents down-wind of	Low, but unknown	NA	?
	•	200 μ g/m ³ , air		

sidered. Similar to Sassine et al.,³⁵ the Mn effect was strongest in individuals with high alcohol usage (P = 0.0001), and a when other variables (e.g., socioeconomic factors, alcohol usage) were included in a multiple regression predictive model, Mn and Pb releases were not found to be significant predictors.

Five reports have compared the amount of trace elements in the hair of violent and non-violent offenders in prison populations.^{39–43} (Table 3) Only Gottschalk et al.³⁹ and Schrauzer et al.⁴⁰ reported significantly greater Mn concentrations in the hair of violent offenders. Interestingly, the other studies found increases in Pb and Cd, but not Mn, whereas Gottschalk et al.³⁹ and Schauzer et al.⁴⁰ did not find any increases in these elements. Collectively these studies measured almost 100 variables. The large number of variables in these studies, and the lack of agreement between the ones showing elevated Mn^{39,40} and the others^{41–43} leave open the possibility that the significant effects of Mn reflect only random statistical variation.

The hypothesized mechanism of neurotoxicity from inhaled Mn is that Mn absorbed from the lungs bypasses first-pass metabolism, goes directly to the brain, and crosses the blood-brain barrier. Based on an average dietary intake of 5000 µg Mn/day, and 4% absorption, 200 µg of Mn/day enters the circulation from food.⁴⁴ A person living downwind of a Mn-emitting source may, under extreme conditions, encounter Mn exposures as great as 200 µg/day. 26 Therefore, assuming 100% retention of the airborne Mn, the total daily Mn exposure would be 200 µg (from diet) plus 200 µg (from air) for a total of 400 µg/day. The WHO lifetime exposure recommendation (from oral exposure) is 10 mg/day; assuming that 4% is absorbed, 400 µg of Mn/day may safely enter circulation. Therefore, even if an individual lived with the most extreme Mn pollution for 24 hours every day, total Mn exposure would still be within the permissible limit (in fact, most Mn-emitting industries result in exposures of only 10 μ g/d²⁶).

Mn exposure from MMT in gasoline poses even less of a risk. Estimates suggest that MMT use in gasoline

Table 3. Reports of Associations between Trace Element Concentrations in Hair and Propensity for Violent Crime

Study	Metal Concentrations Significantly Elevated in Hair of Violent Criminals
Gottschalk et al. ³⁹	Mn
Schauzer et al.40	Mn, Li decreased
Pihl and Ervin ⁴¹	Pb, Cd
Marlowe et al.42	Pb, Cd, Hg, Si; Li decreased
Schauss et al.43	Pb, Cd, Cu; Mn non-significantly
	decreased

would increase Mn exposure to 99% of the population by less than 0.15 μ g/m³, resulting in a total of only 3 μ g of additional Mn absorbed/day.⁴

Dietary studies have demonstrated that intakes of >5 mg Mn/day can be safely tolerated by healthy adults. Davis et al.⁴⁵ supplemented women with 15 mg of Mn/day for 124 days (total dietary intake plus supplemental intake of 17 mg/d) and reported only elevated plasma Mn concentrations and lymphocyte MnSOD activities. Urinary Mn, an excretory route that becomes more important at high Mn intakes, was unaffected by treatment. Finley²⁴ fed women either less than 1 or 9.5 mg Mn/day for 60 days and found that higher Mn intakes were compensated for by decreased absorption and increased excretion. In a follow-up study, 46 subjects were fed <1.0 or 20 mg Mn/day for 60 days in a cross-over design. An extensive battery of psychological tests and neurologic exams was administered before and after dietary periods, and 54Mn whole-body counting was used to estimate Mn absorption retention and turnover. Measures of Mn status (plasma and lymphocyte Mn) were unaffected by dietary Mn; the efficiency of Mn absorption (%) and biologic half-life were almost twice as great in subjects fed low dietary Mn than in subjects fed high dietary Mn, again demonstrating homeostatic control of Mn retention. As a result, neurologic tests were unaffected by Mn status, and the only psychological variable affected was self confidence (decreased self confidence with high dietary Mn).

The above discussion applies to healthy adults with adequate Fe status. There is evidence, however, that low Fe status may alter Mn homeostasis. Low-Fe diets increase Mn absorption, 47 and Chua and Morgan reported that Fe-deficient diets had increased uptake of Mn into the brain. 48 Iron deficiency in humans also enhances Mn absorption; women in the lowest 10% of serum ferritin concentrations absorbed three- to fivefold more Mn than women in the top 10% of serum ferritin concentrations. 44 Presently, however, there is no direct evidence that women with low Fe status are at increased risk of toxicity from inhaled or oral exposure to moderate concentrations of Mn

Consequently, a review of the available literature reveals only circumstantial evidence that minor environmental exposure of healthy adults to Mn can have deleterious effects. A notable exception may be women with low Fe status, for whom there is evidence that Mn absorption may be greatly increased. It is well accepted that inhalation of toxic amounts of Mn (e.g., >1.0 mg/d) can affect neuropsychological function; such knowledge may have caused investigators to see trends and patterns and suggest hypotheses regarding low-level exposure to Mn that simply are not supported by the data.

- Finley J, Davis C. Manganese deficiency and toxicity: are high or low dietary amounts of manganese cause for concern? *BioFactors*. 1999;10:15–24.
- Iregren A. Manganese neurotoxicity in industrial exposures: proof of effects, critical exposure level, and sensitive tests. Neurotoxicology. 1999;20:315–324.
- 3. Kaiser J. Manganese: a high-octane dispute. *Science*. 2003;300;926–928.
- Loranger S, Zayed J. Environmental contamination and human exposure assessment to manganese in the St-Lawrence River ecozone (Quebec, Canada) using an environmental fate/exposure model: GEO-TOX SAR QSAR. Environ Res. 1997;6:105–119.
- Zayed J. Use of MMT in Canadian gasoline: health and environment issues. Am J Ind Med. 2001;39: 426–433.
- Anonymous. Manganese exposure lawsuit—manganese, contact a manganese lawyer today! Available at: http://www.manganese-exposure-lawsuit. com/html/about.html. Accessed 26 February 2004.
- Reuters News Service. New prison diet aims to reduce violence. Available at: http://dailynews. yahoo.com/h/nm/20010718/od/diet_dc_1.html. Accessed 18 Jul 2001.
- 8. Wilson J. The chemistry of violence. *Popular Mechanics*. Available at: http://popularmechanics.com/science/research/1998/3/chemistry_of_violence/index.phtml. Accessed 26 February 2004.
- Masters R. Biology and politics: linking nature and nurture. In: Polsby N, ed. *Annual Review of Political Science*. Palo Alto, CA: Annual Reviews Inc., 2001: 45–369.
- Masters R, Way B, Hone B, Grelotti D, Gonzalez D, Jones D. Neurotoxicity and violence. Vermont Law Review. 1998;22:358–382.
- Bellinger D, Dietrich KN. Low-level lead exposure and cognitive function in children. *Pediatr Ann.* 1994;23:600-605.
- Minder B, Das-Smaal EA, Brand EF, Orlebeke JF. Exposure to lead and specific attentional problems in schoolchildren. *J Learn Disabil*. 1994;27:393–399.
- Mcmillan DE. A brief history of the neurobehavioral toxicity of manganese: some unanswered questions. *Neurotoxicology*. 1999;20:499–508.
- Bowler RM, Mergler D, Sassine M-P, Larribe F, Hudnell K. Neuropsychiatric effects of manganese on mood. *Neurotoxicology*. 1999;20:367–378.
- 15. Zhang G, Liu D, He P. Effects of manganese on learning abilities in school children. *Zhonghua Yufang Yixue Zazhi*. 1995;29:156–158.
- Calnr DB, Chu NS, Hung CC, Lu CS, Olanow CW. Manganism and idiopathic parkinsonism: similarities and differences. *Neurology*. 1994;44:1583–1586.
- 17. Aschner M, Gannon M. Manganese (Mn) transport across the rat blood-brain barrier: saturable and transferrin dependent transport mechanisms. *Brain Res Bull.* 1994;33:345–349.
- Newland M, Ceckler T, Kordower J, Weiss B. Visualizing manganese in the primate basal ganglia with magnetic resonance imaging. *Exp Neurol*. 1989; 106:251–258.
- Graumann R, Paris I, Martinez-Alvarado P, et al. Oxidation of dopamine to aminochrome as a mechanism for neurodegeneration of dopaminergic systems in Parkinson's disease. Possible neuroprotec-

- tive role of DT-diaphorase. *Pol J Pharmacol.* 2002; 54:573–579.
- 20. Takeda A. Manganese action in brain function. *Brain Res Rev.* 2003;41:79–87.
- Miller S, Cotzias G, Evert H. Control of tissue manganese: initial absence and sudden emergence of excretion in the neonatal mouse. *Am J Physiol*. 1975;229:1080–1084.
- 22. Krieger D, Krieger S, Jansen O, Gass P, Theilmann L, Lichtnecker H. Manganese and chronic hepatic encephalopathy. *Lancet.* 1995;346;270–274.
- Eide DJ. The molecular biology of metal ion transport in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Annu Rev Nutr. 1998;18:441–469.
- Finley JW. Manganese absorption and retention by young women is associated with serum ferritin concentration. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999;70:37–43.
- Greger JL. Dietary standards for manganese: overlap between nutritional and toxicological studies. J Nutr. 1998;128;368S–371S.
- World Health Organization expert committee. Manganese. Geneva: World Health Organization, IPCS International Programme on Chemical Safety; 1981.
- Panel on micronutrients, Institute of Medicine. *Dietary Reference Intakes*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2002.
- Iregren A. Psychological test performance in foundry workers exposed to low levels of manganese. Neurotoxicol Teratol. 1990;12;673–675.
- Roels HA, Ghyselen P, Buchet JP, Ceulemans E, Lauwerys RR. Assessment of the permissible exposure level to manganese in workers exposed to manganese dioxide dust. Br J Ind Med. 1992;49: 25–34.
- Mergler D, Huel G, Bowler R, et al. Nervous system dysfunction among workers with long-term exposure to manganese. *Environ Res.* 1994;64:151–180.
- 31. Lucchini R, Apostoli P, Perrone C, et al. Long term exposure to "low levels" of manganese oxides and neurofunctional changes in ferroalloy workers. *Neurotoxicology.* 1999;20:287–298.
- 32. Wennberg A, Iregren A, Struwe G, Cizinsky G, Hagman M, Johansson L. Manganese exposure in steel smelters a health hazard to the nervous system. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 1991;17:255–262.
- 33. Gibbs JP, Crump KS, Houck DP, Warren PA, Mosley WS. Focused medical surveillance: a search for subclinical movement disorders in a cohort of U.S. workers exposed to low levels of manganese dust. *Neurotoxicology.* 1999;20:299–314.
- 34. Mergler D, Baldwin M, Belanger S, et al. Manganese neurotoxicity, a continuum of dysfunction: results from a community based study. *Neurotoxicology*. 1999;20:327–342.
- Sassine MP, Mergler D, Bowler R, Hudnell HK. Manganese accentuates adverse mental health effects associated with alcohol use disorders. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2002;51:909–921.
- Kilburn CJ. Manganese malformations and motor disorders findings in a manganese-exposed population. Symposium on metals, trace elements and mammalian development, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, December 10–12, 1986. Neurotoxicology. 1987;8:421–429.
- 37. Kondakis X, Makris N, Leotsinidis M, Prinou M,

- Papapetropoulos T. Possible health effects of high manganese concentration in drinking water. *Arch Environ Health*. 1989;44:175–178.
- Vieregge P, Heinzow B, Korf G, Teichert HM, Schleifenbaum P, Mösinger HU. Long term exposure to manganese in rural well water has no neurological effects. Can J Neurol Sci. 1995;22:286–289.
- Gottschalk LA, Rebello T, Buchsbaum MS, Tucker HG, Hodges EL. Abnormalities in hair trace elements as indicators of aberrant behavior. Compr Psychiatry. 1991;32:229–237.
- Schauss AG. Comparative hair mineral analysis results in a randomly selected behaviorally normal 15–59 year old population and violent criminal offenders. *Int J Biosocial Res.* 1981;1:21–41.
- 41. Pihl RO, Ervin F. Lead and cadmium levels in violent criminals. *Psych Rep.* 1990;66:844.
- Marlowe M, Schneider HG, Bliss LB. Hair: a mineral analysis in emotionally disturbed and violence prone youth. *Biosoc Med Res.* 1991;13:169–179.
- 43. Schrauzer GN, Shrestha KP, Flores-Arce MF. Lith-

- ium in scalp hair of adults, students and violent criminals. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 1992;34;161–176.
- Finley J, Johnson P. Sex affects manganese absorption and retention by humans from a diet adequate in manganese. Am J Clin Nutr. 1994;60:949–955.
- Davis CD, Greger JL. Longitudinal changes of manganese-dependent superoxide dismutase and other indexes of manganese and iron status in women. Am J Clin Nutr. 1992;55:747–752.
- 46. Finley JW, Penland J, Pettit RE, Davis CD. Dietary manganese intake and type of lipid do not affect clinical or neuropsychological measures in healthy young women. *J Nutr.* 2003;133:2849–2862.
- 47. Davis C, Wolf T, Greger J. Varying levels of manganese and iron affect absorption and gut endogenous losses of manganese by rats. *J Nutr.* 1992; 122:1300–1308.
- 48. Chua AC, Morgan EH. Effects of iron deficiency and iron overload on manganese uptake and deposition in the brain and other organs of the rat. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 1996;55:39–54.

Beverage Consumption and Risk of Obesity among Native Americans in Arizona

Native Americans face some of the highest rates of obesity and diabetes in the world. Despite numerous education programs to reduce obesity among Native Americans, little attention has been paid to reducing fructose, particularly in the form of high-fructose corn syrup in beverages. Considerable data indicate that energy from beverages does not displace energy from other foods throughout the day, often leading to energy imbalance, and numerous studies have documented that beverages are a leading contributor to energy intakes among Native Americans. Prevention programs that target pregnant women and parents of infants and very young children are necessary to halt the epidemic of obesity among Native Americans; one approach may be by promoting sugar-free beverages.

Key words: Native American, beverage, energy, obesity

© 2004 International Life Sciences Institute doi: 10.1301/nr.2004.apr.153–159

This review was prepared by Christopher M. Wharton, M.S., and Jeffrey S Hampl, Ph.D., R.D., Department of Nutrition, Arizona State University, 7001 E. Williams Field Rd., Mesa, AZ 85212, USA. This project was supported with funding provided by The Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (03-35200-13445).

Across all age groups and ethnicities, the well-recognized epidemics of overweight and obesity grow worse with each passing year. The majority of adults in the United States (~64.5%) are overweight or obese based on body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), and between 10 and 15% of U.S. children are overweight. Preventing and treating overweight and obesity are high priorities because these conditions are associated with an increased risk for chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hypertension, pulmonary stress, and orthopedic problems. ^{3–8}

Native Americans particularly suffer from obesity and related health issues, beginning very early in life.⁴ Recent data from the Pathways Study (n = 1704) showed that 30.5% of girls and 26.8% of boys were greater than the 95th percentiles for BMI-for-age (i.e., overweight); 21.0% of girls and 19.6% of boys had a BMI-for-age that was \geq 85th and \leq 95th percentiles (i.e., at risk for overweight).9 The proportion of Native American children with a BMI-for-age ≥85th percentile was consistently higher than national averages in all of the communities studied. For example, based on recent data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 11% of 6- to 11-year-olds across the country have a BMI-for-age that is greater than the 95th percentile, compared with 28.6% of Native American children of the same age in the Pathways study.⁹

Based on research conducted in Arizona, obesity appears to begin very early in life among Native Amer-